



FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF DISTRICT SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENTS

2017 LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM

INTRODUCTION

The Florida Association of District School Superintendents is the professional organization comprised of Florida's 67 public school superintendents. As constitutional officers, Florida superintendents have a responsibility to meet the education needs of a diverse and growing population of over 2.8 million students enrolled in Florida's public schools. Florida superintendents support a high-quality public education system that graduates students prepared for careers and postsecondary education. High-quality public schools are critical to Florida's continued economic growth and prosperity. Without them, businesses are reluctant to locate in Florida, and jobs are lost.

These legislative recommendations focus on:

- Public Education Funding (Operating and Capital)
- Florida's Accountability System
- Third Grade Retention
- Additional Pathways to High School Graduation
- Career and Technical Education
- Charter Schools
- Controlled Open Enrollment

PUBLIC EDUCATION FUNDING

Public education as funded through the FEFP (Florida Education Finance Program) has always been one of the highest priorities supported by the Florida Legislature. Such funding not only supports the employment of the largest number of citizens in every county but is also a major driver of workforce development, and is critical to the growth of the Florida economy.

Recommendations – General Operations

- Allow the nonrecurring local millage adjustment adopted in the 2016-2017 General Appropriations Act to expire.
- Adopt and fund the critical and other high priority needs for the FEFP that were identified in the Long Range Financial Outlook adopted by the Legislative Budget Committee on September 12, 2016. This would fully fund student enrollment growth and fund a 2.73% increase in per student funding for the FEFP.

Recommendations – Capital Outlay

- Funding for local capital improvements including maintenance and repair of district operated public schools, school safety improvements, hardware and infrastructure and other capital needs is critical. Authority should be restored to school boards to levy a discretionary millage of up to .5 mills to address these capital needs.

Recommendations – Capital Outlay, cont.

- Public Education Bonds funded by the PECO Trust Fund should be issued since bonding capacity is available in order to support the capital construction and capital technology needs of traditional public schools in school districts.
- The Legislature should fully fund the Special Facilities Program including those projects that are underway; unfunded projects from the 2016-2017 fiscal year; and any new projects recommended for funding beginning in the 2017-2018 fiscal year.
- Capital outlay funds for charter schools should only be provided through a separate statewide funding source, and distributed only based on demonstrated need, with provisions made to insure that the taxpayers acquire an asset for their investment and the need for public disaster shelter requirements are met.
- Any local discretionary capital improvement funds provided to charter school facilities and capital equipment must continue to have the consent of the local school board.

FLORIDA’S ACCOUNTABILITY SYSTEM

Florida’s basic framework of the accountability system with A-F grades has been in place for at least 17 years. Superintendents support a rigorous accountability system that is fair, ensures that decisions are made in the best interests of students and recognizes the complexities of serving students with diverse needs. The passage of the federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) provides an opportunity to develop a bolder vision of an accountability system that will lead to increased student performance and provide parents and the community with a more comprehensive report on student and school performance.

The full implementation of ESSA may be delayed, if not substantially altered, due to the recent election. Regardless of the ultimate outcome of the federal legislation, these recommendations are made to strengthen the current accountability system and are aligned with the major components of ESSA.

Maintain Challenging State Academic Standards

Superintendents strongly support the Florida State Standards in English Language Arts and Mathematics. ESSA requires the adoption of math, reading or language arts and science standards with at least three achievement levels.

Academic Assessments – Recommendations:

- **Alternate Assessment** – The state should approve nationally-recognized high school assessments (for example: SAT or ACT) from which districts may select for the administration of the 10th grade English Language Arts assessment in lieu of the Florida Standards Assessment (FSA) and such assessments should be included in Florida’s Accountability System. The FSA should be available for students who need a concordant score on an alternate assessment. A student’s highest score, regardless of the assessment, should be the score used for school and district accountability purposes. ESSA allows the flexibility of selecting a nationally-recognized high school assessment.
- **Paper/Pencil Test Administration** – Districts should have the discretion to administer tests using paper and pencil until the technology is available to minimize assessment time. Many districts, particularly rural districts, do not have the capacity to reasonably administer computer-based assessments without continued and major disruption of instructional time in the classroom.

Larger districts may have more capacity, but still have schools that struggle to meet the computer-based requirements without substantial instructional disruption. This is particularly critical at the high school level. Authorizing the use of paper and pencil will alleviate some of this disruption. The use of paper and pencil by districts could be explained as part of the Digital Classrooms Plan.

- **Testing Windows** – Testing windows should run concurrently in order to minimize the time used for testing and the disruption in student instruction.
- **English Learners** – Today, English Learners must take the assessments in English if he or she has attended school in the US for three or more consecutive years. ESSA authorizes a district to extend for two years (total of 5) the assessment in another language or form as determined on a case-by-case basis. Districts should have this flexibility.
- **Exception for Recently arrived English Learners** – Students enrolled in school for less than 12 months should be assessed but the results excluded for accountability purposes for the first year. For accountability purposes, student growth should be measured in the second year and then proficiency measured in the third year.
- **High School Proficiency** – Florida’s accountability system no longer allows “banking” of middle school Algebra I scores for high school accountability purposes. This should be reinstated and expanded to include the banking of any statewide, standardized assessment. This requires statutory change.

Statewide Accountability System – Recommendations:

- English Learners are still acquiring English proficiency and are not yet able to demonstrate their knowledge and skills on content tests in English. The requirement in ESSA of setting a higher standard for English Learners is not reasonable due to the transient nature of these students. Goals for English Learners should initially be based on achieving learning gains rather than reaching proficiency on content state assessments. Weighted indicators could be used to take into account English proficiency.
- Similar to English Learners, for students with disabilities, the goals for these students should be based on achieving learning gains as opposed to reaching proficiency on the content state assessments. For many of these students, measuring growth is a more valid measure of performance than a determination of proficiency.
- Florida should allow as part of the accountability system, as does ESSA, the inclusion of students taking alternative assessments into the calculation of the graduation rate.
- ESSA authorizes the use of the four-year cohort graduation rate and, at the state’s discretion, an extended year graduation rate. Florida should take advantage of this flexibility.

School Support and Improvement

ESSA requires, beginning with the 2017-2018 school year, and at least once every 3 school years thereafter, one statewide category of schools to receive comprehensive support and improvement.

School Support and Improvement – Recommendation:

This is an area where meaningful dialogue is needed with the Department of Education. A clear process to identify these low performing schools pursuant to ESSA’s criteria needs to be developed along with exit criteria. In addition, existing programs that target low performing students such as the interventions in the 300 lowest performing elementary schools should be integrated into or adjusted under ESSA. Finally, the School Advisory Council and School Improvement Plan must be integrated into the ESSA framework.

Direct Student Services

ESSA authorizes the state, after meaningful consultation with geographically diverse districts, to reserve not more than three percent of the federal allocation for direct student services. This program is similar to the old Supplemental Educational Services Program that was eliminated due to ineffectiveness and waste. Any effort to reinstate this program is opposed. The potential 3% set aside should be allocated to districts based on the overall funding methodology.

THIRD GRADE RETENTION

The decision to retain a child in third grade should not rest on a single measure, but should be based on multiple measurements, including statewide standardized assessments, and on evidence that the student has mastered the required standards. Retention decisions, including third grade retention, should be made at the local level.

ADDITIONAL PATHWAYS TO A STANDARD HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA

There will always be a group of students who are successful in the classroom but cannot pass the 10th Grade FSA (ELA Component) or the Algebra I EOC. These students should have another pathway to a standard high school diploma that incorporates career and technical education and the successful completion of industry certifications. Receiving a “Certificate of Completion” does not serve these students well.

CAREER AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION

A high quality career and technical education system continues to be critical to Florida’s economic growth and expansion. Florida’s public postsecondary technical institutions are an integral part of this system and provide the necessary training to meet the shortage of skilled workers in Florida. Public postsecondary technical colleges/centers, governed by school districts, provide quality training in specific occupational program areas in order to meet the employment needs of business and industry. These technical centers have the ability to be market driven, responsive to business and industry needs, cost effective, and focused on results.

Recommendations:

Additional Pathways to a Standard High School Diploma

- Assist in the implementation of additional pathways to a standard high school diploma that incorporates career and technical education and the successful completion of industry certifications.

Increase the Level of Workforce Education Funding For Postsecondary Instructional Programs

- Accurately align the funding model and provide additional dollars to reflect student enrollment growth in Career, Technical, and Adult Education programs throughout Florida.

Apprenticeship Training Programs

- Support the expansion of the apprenticeship training through a \$3 million grant to assist employers throughout the State of Florida meet their immediate needs for a high skilled and professional workforce.

Industry Certifications

- Support the addition of new industry certifications where necessary and validated by industry.
- Increase the current funding level of \$4.5 million to \$7 million to fully fund the attainment of industry credentials that are included on the Postsecondary CAPE funding list.

CHARTER SCHOOLS

Since its inception in 1996, charter schools were offered as a means to provide students and their parents with programmatic options that were not available in regular public schools. Today, many charter schools are not innovative, but rather duplicate educational programs that are offered by regular public schools.

Charter School Recommendations

- Charter school applications should only be approved when the proposed instructional program and student growth align with the school district needs.
- The application should be modified to document that the governing board is independent of any management company or cooperative and, at its sole discretion, may terminate a contract with a management company or cooperative at any time.
- Standards of conduct, financial disclosure, and identification of conflicts of interest should be clarified and expanded. Specifically, language should be adopted that prohibits ownership or lease arrangements by a charter school with individuals or entities affiliated with the charter school or management company.
- Student reporting requirements relating to withdrawals, suspensions, expulsions, and other related instances where students are no longer enrolled in a charter school must be the same for all public schools, including charter schools.
- In order to ensure financial stability, school districts must have the authority to require a surety bond or the maintenance of a specific amount in an escrow fund to protect the school district and taxpayer.
- Districts should be able to recover the cost, including interest, of a Tax Anticipation Note (TAN) that is needed to ensure monthly payments to charter schools.

CONTROLLED OPEN ENROLLMENT

Beginning in the 2017-2018 school year, each school board or charter school must allow a parent from any district in the state to enroll his or her child in any public school, including a charter school that has not reached capacity. Superintendents have serious concerns about the implementation of this provision and the ability to serve and plan for those students who reside in their districts. County taxpayers who choose to impose additional taxes and services on themselves, do not do so to serve students beyond the county line.